REVIEW ARTICLE

DRUG THERAPY

Prevention and Treatment of Major Blood Loss

Pier Mannuccio Mannucci, M.D., and Marcel Levi, M.D., Ph.D.

N A MEDICAL SETTING, SURGERY IS THE MOST COMMON CAUSE OF MAJOR blood loss, defined as a loss of 20% of total blood volume or more. In particular, cardiovascular procedures, liver transplantation and hepatic resections, and major orthopedic procedures including hip and knee replacement and spine surgery, are associated with severe bleeding. Excessive blood loss may also occur for other reasons, such as trauma. Indeed, bleeding contributes to approximately 30% of traumarelated deaths. Bleeding in critical locations, such as an intracerebral hemorrhage, may also pose a major clinical challenge.

Severe bleeding often requires blood transfusion. Even when the benefits of transfusion outweigh the risks (e.g., mismatched transfusion, allergic reactions, transmission of infections, and acute lung injury), strategies to minimize the use of limited resources such as blood products are essential. The most obvious and probably the most effective strategy is to improve surgical and anesthetic techniques. For example, liver transplantation, a procedure that once required transfusion of large amounts of blood products, now has relatively small transfusion requirements in most instances.

Ruling out abnormalities of hemostasis in a patient with bleeding is also essential, because such problems can often be corrected by replacing the defective components of the hemostatic system. However, cases of excessive blood loss in which no surgical cause or abnormalities in hemostasis can be identified require pharmacologic strategies, which can be broadly divided into preoperative prophylaxis for operations that confer a high risk of bleeding and interventions for massive, refractory bleeding. The medications that have been most extensively evaluated as hemostatic agents include the antifibrinolytic lysine analogues aminocaproic acid and tranexamic acid; aprotinin, a bovine-derived protease inhibitor; and desmopressin, a synthetic analogue of the antidiuretic hormone that raises the plasma levels of factor VIII and von Willebrand factor.³ In addition, recombinant activated factor VII appears to be efficacious in an array of clinical situations associated with severe hemorrhage.* The safety of aprotinin, the most widely used of these agents, has been questioned because of concerns about renal and cardiovascular adverse events.⁵

Most trials of hemostatic agents have been designed to assess therapeutic efficacy, but they were not optimally designed to assess potential toxic effects, so definitive safety data are lacking for all hemostatic agents. Many trials of these agents have used perioperative blood loss and other measures that are not the most clinically relevant end points, and many published trials were not powered to assess more clinically relevant outcomes such as mortality or the need for reoperation. We review the therapeutic benefits of hemostatic drugs and consider the risk of adverse events. In particular, we consider thrombotic complications, which constitute a major concern when agents that potentiate hemostasis are administered.

From the Angelo Bianchi Bonomi Hemophilia and Thrombosis Center and the Department of Medicine and Medical Specialties, University of Milan; the Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico, Maggiore Hospital; and Mangiagalli and Regina Elena Foundation — all in Milan (P.M.M.); and the Department of Medicine and Vascular Medicine, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam (M.L.). Address reprint requests to Dr. Mannucci at Via Pace 9, 20122 Milan, Italy, or at pmmannucci@libero.it.

N Engl J Med 2007;356:2301-11.
Convinte © 2007 Massachusetts Medical Society

N ENGL J MED 356;22 WWW.NEJM.ORG MAY 31, 2007

2301