

A.49/04/35/J Page: 275

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 January 2005 08:39
To: Heather Steen
Subject: RE: Re Roberts Case

Heather

You will have my letter now naming Dr Robert Bingham as the Coroner's Expert.

Mr Leckey did not give us the choice: - as I mentioned to you, I said to Mr Leckey that I felt a paediatrician would be more appropriate than a paediatric anaesthetist, but he clearly decided otherwise.

Peter

-----Original Message-----

From: Heather Steen
Sent: 11 January 2005 10:47
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re Roberts Case

Peter

I was thinking about the Coroners Case Review. Surely the most suitable person to review it is a paediatric neurologist. We should point out that we had very limited access to CT Scan in 1996

Heather

12/01/2005

A.49/04/35/J Page: 276

From: Heather Steen
Sent: 11 January 2005 10:47
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re Roberts Case

Peter

I was thinking about the Coroners Case Review. Surely the most suitable person to review it is a paediatric neurologist. We should point out that we had very limited access to CT Scan in 1996
 Heather

ARW has written to
 Dr Steen informing her
 who name had selected.

[REDACTED] Please reply

Heather you will see my letter about naming Dr ? as the
 Coroner's Expert. Mr Lecky was the
 choice, but as I mentioned to
 you, ~~with a few days to go~~ I said to Mr Lecky a paediatrician
 would be more appropriate for a paediatrician consultant but he clearly
 decided otherwise.

Peter

✓ [REDACTED]

11/01/2005