

A.49/04/35/J Page: 128

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 November 2005 10:30
To: 'Ian Young'
Subject: Email from Mr A P Walby re: Claire Roberts

Ian

I have checked the notes and I agree it says "I note (n) biochemistry profile". The notes are here if you want to look at them and verify it again. I have not had a reply from David Webb but presumably he will pick up this point himself.

I think your supplementary statement should state the views as per your email including your reading of Dr Webb's notes.

Peter

-----Original Message-----

From: Peter.Walby
Sent: 14 November 2005 12:29
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Claire Roberts

-----Original Message-----

From: Ian Young [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 06 October 2005 13:45
To: Peter.Walby
Cc: 'Ian Young'
Subject: Claire Roberts

Dear Peter

Thank you for the copy of the reports on Claire Roberts. I would not disagree in general with the conclusion of Dr Bingham. However, there are a couple of issues on which I am inclined to comment and I would be grateful for your advice on whether you think that this is appropriate. Firstly, I think that Dr Bingham has incorrectly interpreted the written note by Dr Webb. Dr Bingham has interpreted the note to mean: "No biochemistry report". I think that it is more likely to mean: "Normal biochemistry report", and I have indicated this in some of my previous correspondence. There is clearly biochemical data written earlier in the notes, so I think that my interpretation has to be correct. I no longer have access to the notes and I would be grateful if you could check if you also feel that this is the case.

The remaining two issues are more matter of opinion. Firstly, Dr Bingham believes that the fall in sodium cannot be explained by the volume of fluids which Claire received. I disagree with him on this and I believe that it is sufficient to explain the fall. Secondly, he raises the possibility that the biochemistry laboratory result of 121 mmol/l was inaccurate. Given the close quality control of the biochemistry results this is exceptionally unlikely, and I think it is important to highlight this to the coroner. The later result from the laboratory and PICU is in keeping with the change in Claire's fluid management after the low sodium level was discovered.

I would be grateful if you could let me know what you think about these issues and I will then prepare a note for the coroner.

Best wishes

Yours sincerely

15/11/2005

Message

Page 2

Ian

A.49/04/35/J Page:

129.

IS Young
Professor of Medicine, Queen's University Belfast
Consultant in Clinical Biochemistry, The Royal Group of Hospitals, Belfast

Wellcome Research Laboratories
Mulhouse Building
Royal Victoria Hospital
Grosvenor Road
Belfast
BT12 6BJ
UK

[REDACTED]



15/11/2005

CR - ROYAL

139-075-002

A.49/04/35/J Page: 130

Peter.Walby

From: Ian Young [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 October 2005 13:45
To: Peter.Walby
Cc: 'Ian Young'
Subject: Claire Roberts

Dear Peter

Thank you for the copy of the reports on Claire Roberts. I would not disagree in general with the conclusion of Dr Bingham. However, there are a couple of issues on which I am inclined to comment and I would be grateful for your advice on whether you think that this is appropriate. Firstly, I think that Dr Bingham has incorrectly interpreted the written note by Dr Webb. Dr Bingham has interpreted the note to mean: "No biochemistry report". I think that it is more likely to mean: "Normal biochemistry report", and I have indicated this in some of my previous correspondence. There is clearly biochemical data written earlier in the notes, so I think that my interpretation has to be correct. I no longer have access to the notes and I would be grateful if you could check if you also feel that this is the case.

The remaining two issues are more matter of opinion. Firstly, Dr Bingham believes that the fall in sodium cannot be explained by the volume of fluids which Claire received. I disagree with him on this and I believe that it is sufficient to explain the fall. Secondly, he raises the possibility that the biochemistry laboratory result of 121 mmol/l was inaccurate. Given the close quality control of the biochemistry results this is exceptionally unlikely, and I think it is important to highlight this to the coroner. The later result from the laboratory and PICU is in keeping with the change in Claire's fluid management after the low sodium level was discovered.

I would be grateful if you could let me know what you think about these issues and I will then prepare a note for the coroner.

Best wishes

Yours sincerely

Ian

IS Young
Professor of Medicine, Queen's University Belfast
Consultant in Clinical Biochemistry, The Royal Group of Hospitals, Belfast

Wellcome Research Laboratories
Mulhouse Building
Royal Victoria Hospital
Grosvenor Road
Belfast
BT12 6BJ
UK

[REDACTED]

14/11/2005

CR - ROYAL

139-075-003