

Coyle, Briege

From: Campbell, Dr Henrietta
Sent: 28 September 2004 10:36
To: Shannon, Colm
Subject: RE: Raychel Ferguson

Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

Colm
I am content with the amendment.
Etta

-----Original Message-----

From: Shannon, Colm
Sent: 28 September 2004 10:13
To: Campbell, Dr Henrietta; McCarthy, Miriam
Cc: Baxter, Clare
Subject: FW: Raychel Ferguson
Importance: High
(Sensitivity: Confidential)


I have attached Altnagelvin's suggested response to Trevor Birney's two additional questions. I am happy with the response to the first question but I think the second answer could be amended to reflect our position about the purpose of the working group.

I suggest the following change:

The purpose of the working group was to prepare guidance on the prevention of hyponatraemia and not to consider the case of any specific child or children.

Colm


-----Original Message-----

From: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr [mailto:MDunne@
Sent: 27 September 2004 18:07
To: Colm Shannon (E-mail)
Subject: FW: Raychel Ferguson
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

(m - As discussed, I am proposing to send the answers to most of these questions as cleared by the CMO.

Regarding the supplementary questions, I propose responding as follows:

1. The CMO advised Altnagelvin on 10th May 2002 that she only became aware of the death of a child in the Royal after Altnagelvin had advised her of Raychel Ferguson's death.
2. Dr. Nesbitt has no recollection of the death of Lucy Crawford being studied by the working group and has no papers relating to same.

Advice please? I'm at an FOI workshop in Toome tomorrow - lucky me! - but if you need to speak to me, I'll have my mobile with me - 

Marie

-----Original Message-----

From: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr
Sent: 27 September 2004 16:10
To: Colm Shannon (E-mail)
Subject: FW: Raychel Ferguson
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

DHSSPS

Colm - See email below.

Most of these questions were asked by Trevor in June 2004 and I have the responses which were cleared by the CMO so I can send those now.

However, questions 2 and 3 - those supplementary to the statement we sent in today - are new.

Marie

-----Original Message-----

From: Trevor Birney [mailto:tbirney@ulster-tv.com]

Sent: 27 September 2004 16:09

To: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr

Subject: RE: Raychel Ferguson

Marie,

Thank-you for forwarding Dr. Nesbitt's response.

In relation to his statement, Mr. Nesbitt appears to left the following question unanswered:

1. "Dr. Geoff Nesbitt, then Clinical Director for Anaesthetics in the Trust personally telephoned colleagues in several hospitals in Northern Ireland to alert them to the circumstances of Raychel's death."

Question: Whom exactly did Mr. Nesbitt telephone? I asked Mr. Nesbitt this question when I met him in spring, 2003, and he wasn't able to remember. Can he now recall?

Supplementary to his statement:

2. When Dr. Nesbitt contacted the CMO in May 2002, was she already aware of the death of the child at the Royal in 1997?
3. Others involved in the CMO's Working Group say they studied the Lucy Crawford case as part of their work. Was Dr. Nesbitt never informed of her death and did he not receive the paper work that his colleagues were given?

These are all my questions for the moment for Dr. Nesbitt, however, I did also forward you the following question which also hasn't been answered:

"On 18 June 2001, the Trust's then Medical Director, Dr Raymond Fulton, met with Dr. Ian Carson, Deputy CMO and Medical Directors from other Northern Ireland Trusts. Dr. Fulton described the circumstances of Raychel's death and it was agreed that there was a need for regional guidelines."

Question: Who were the other Medical Directors who attended this meeting?

Marie, we're really up against it time wise. Any chance of a quick response to these?

Best wishes,
Trevor.

Trevor Birney
Editor, Current Affairs
Ulster Television

This e-mail, and any attachment, is confidential. If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system, do not use or disclose the information in any way and notify me immediately. The contents of this message may contain personal views, which are not the views of UTV unless specifically stated.

Baxter, Clare

From: Shannon, Colm
Sent: 28 September 2004 10:13
To: Campbell, Dr Henrietta; McCarthy, Miriam
Cc: Baxter, Clare
Subject: FW: Raychel Ferguson

Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

I have attached Altnagelvin's suggested response to Trevor Birney's two additional questions. I am happy with the response to the first question but I think the second answer could be amended to reflect our position about the purpose of the working group. I suggest the following change:
The purpose of the working group was to prepare guidance on the prevention of hyponatraemia and not to consider the case of any specific child or children.

Colm

-----Original Message-----

From: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr [mailto:MDunne [REDACTED]]
Sent: 27 September 2004 18:07
To: Colm Shannon (E-mail)
Subject: FW: Raychel Ferguson
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

Colm - As discussed, I am proposing to send the answers to most of these questions as cleared by the CMO.

Regarding the supplementary questions, I propose responding as follows:

1. The CMO advised Altnagelvin on 10th May 2002 that she only became aware of the death of a child in the Royal after Altnagelvin had advised her of Raychel Ferguson's death.
2. Dr. Nesbitt has no recollection of the death of Lucy Crawford being studied by the working group and has no papers relating to same.

Advice please? I'm at an FOI workshop in Toome tomorrow - lucky me! - but if you need to speak to me, I'll have my mobile with me - [REDACTED]

Marie

-----Original Message-----

From: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr
Sent: 27 September 2004 16:10
To: Colm Shannon (E-mail)
Subject: FW: Raychel Ferguson
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

Colm - See email below.

Most of these questions were asked by Trevor in June 2004 and I have the responses which were cleared by the CMO so I can send those now.

However, questions 2 and 3 - those supplementary to the statement we sent in today - are new.

Marie

-----Original Message-----

From: Trevor Birney [mailto:tbirney@ulster-tv.com]

Sent: 27 September 2004 16:09

To: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr

Subject: RE: Raychel Ferguson

Marie,

Thank-you for forwarding Dr. Nesbitt's response.

In relation to his statement, Mr. Nesbitt appears to left the following question unanswered:

1. "Dr. Geoff Nesbitt, then Clinical Director for Anaesthetics in the Trust personally telephoned colleagues in several hospitals in Northern Ireland to alert them to the circumstances of Raychel's death."

Question: Whom exactly did Mr. Nesbitt telephone? I asked Mr. Nesbitt this question when I met him in spring, 2003, and he wasn't able to remember. Can he now recall?

Supplementary to his statement:

2. When Dr. Nesbitt contacted the CMO in May 2002, was she already aware of the death of the child at the Royal in 1997?

3. Others involved in the CMO's Working Group say they studied the Lucy Crawford case as part of their work. Was Dr. Nesbitt never informed of her death and did he not receive the paper work that his colleagues were given?

These are all my questions for the moment for Dr. Nesbitt, however, I did also forward you the following question which also hasn't been answered:

"On 18 June 2001, the Trust's then Medical Director, Dr Raymond Fulton, met with Dr. Ian Carson, Deputy CMO and Medical Directors from other Northern Ireland Trusts. Dr. Fulton described the circumstances of Raychel's death and it was agreed that there was a need for regional guidelines."

Question: Who were the other Medical Directors who attended this meeting?

((Marie, we're really up against it time wise. Any chance of a quick response to these?

Best wishes,
Trevor.

Trevor Birney
Editor, Current Affairs
Ulster Television

This e-mail, and any attachment, is confidential. If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system, do not use or disclose the information in any way and notify me immediately. The contents of this message may contain personal views, which are not the views of UTV unless specifically stated.

-----Original Message-----

From: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr [mailto:MDunne@ulster-tv.com]

Sent: 27 September 2004 14:33

To: Trevor Birney

Subject: RE: Raychel Ferguson

Trevor - Find attached Dr. Nesbitt's response to the queries in your email below. Apologies for the delay but as you know I was on leave until 20th September.

Marie

-----Original Message-----

From: Trevor Birney [mailto:tbirney@ulster-tv.com]
Sent: 14 September 2004 10:19
To: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr
Subject: RE: Raychel Ferguson

Marie,

As you know, we're still working on a programme examining the deaths of Lucy Crawford and Raychel Ferguson.

In terms of the death of Raychel, in a meeting I had with Geoff Nesbitt last year he spoke of his concern at the RVHSC not disseminating information relating to the death of Adam Strain in 1996.

Can you ask Geoff when exactly did he find out about the death of Adam?

When did he first speak to the CMO about his concerns?

When did Geoff discover that another healthy child, Lucy Crawford, had died at the Erne Hospital 14-months previous to the death of Raychel?

How many deaths did the Working Group, set up by the CMO, examine?

Best wishes,
Trevor.

Trevor Birney
Editor, Current Affairs
Ulster Television

This e-mail, and any attachment, is confidential. If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system, do not use or disclose the information in any way and notify me immediately. The contents of this message may contain personal views, which are not the views of UTV unless specifically stated.

-----Original Message-----

From: Marie Dunne - Commun. Mgr [mailto:MDunne@ulster-tv.com]
Sent: 15 June 2004 12:12
To: Trevor Birney (E-mail)
Subject: Altnagelvin statement

Hi Trevor - Statement as promised.

Regards.

Marie

<<Raychel - Insight 3 - June 04.doc>>

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of

the author and do not necessarily represent those of Altnagelvin Hospitals Health and Social Services Trust.

If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please contact the sender.

Incoming Mail Disclaimer

Altnagelvin Hospital HSS Trust may monitor the content of emails sent and received via its network for the purposes of ensuring compliance with its policies and procedures.

For support please contact I.T. Department ; [REDACTED] Ext. [REDACTED]

Email IT [REDACTED]