

**From:** O'Rawe Bridget  
**Sent:** 29 April 2003 09:21  
**To:** Kelly Jim  
**Cc:** Mills Hugh; Fee Eugene  
**Subject:** LC CASE

**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential


Jim, donna scott rang to advise that mr lecky contacted csa to advise he proposes to proceed with inquest. I understand a letter is en route to you from mr lecky advising of same which will enclose copy of dr sumners report. Clearly makes situ mor delicate for us as discussed previously. I have asked jenny, in your absence, to confidentially fax copy of letter & reprot to donna once rec'd. It appears the coroners interest arose from letter rec'd from stanley millar in wake of the ferguson case. Donna also indicated that the familys reps have had specialist opinion from cons paed in wales..mr lecky now asking for sight of same. Mr I has indicated not likely to be heard before summer. Back to earlier thoughts around settlement etc....

Key issues now are: 1. tactically, familys position likely to have changed, may now not be open to settlement, for us also there is the risk of an early settlememtn if agreed being misrepresented as trust trying to pull fast one in the inquest outcoem more damning.

2. do we proceed to ask john jenkins to provide opinion for us (will need to be different report than one already provide re liability). donna believes this could be v helpful to us and could inform coroners thinking  
3. mr lecky will be asking us to id witnesses for the inquest..it will be important for us to select carefully  
4. any statements provided by witnesses sh'd be shared with donna before going to coroner.

D and i discussed the point we ha dreached pre easter re settlement and the form of words etc...now need to reconsider this approach and how it could be misread/misrepresented. This is not going to be easy...donna still of view that this case v different from f case, and causation not as clear.  
Hope this note keeps you, eugene, & CE up to date. Bridget

*Lucy* *humbert* *21*



LC-SLT