

**NOTES OF MEETING ON 5 APRIL 2004 RE RCA/TOR-LC**

Present: E Fee, Director of Acute Hospital Services, Sperrin Lakeland Trust  
 Dr Kelly, Medical Director Sperrin Lakeland Trust  
 B O'Rawe, Complaints Manager, Sperrin Lakeland Trust  
 H Mills, Chief Executive, Sperrin Lakeland Trust

E Fee:

Tragedy - family  
 + staff

Assessment included dehyd. IV + oral replacement  
 \* No 18 Sol - later focus of review CMO/Inq.  
 4hrs later - 'fit' + sick/dia - side ward with mum  
 - stable  
 - T/F to RVH Chd

Under supervision of Dr O' Donohoe on-call consultant

M Reilly: Q - Was she under Dr O'Donohoe's care from the start

Sudden and unexplained death

Dr O'Donohoe contacted Dr J Kelly Med. Director

Review established:

? need for external opinion (rare event)

M Reilly: Q - Was external opinion given

Dr Murray Quinn - Cons Paed AHT

M Reilly: Q - reviewers independence - E Fee said he didn't personally know M Quinn

April - July 2000 Review

Asked for staff notes

PM Report - Cons in Royal - Dr O'Hara

Undertook Hospital PM

T of R

- opin re fluid balance regime - significance of type/vol fluids given
- Q re how did LC dev cerebral oed
- any other issues
- Notes sent to him

Review identified issues

Communication between staff  
level and quality of documentation  
incl events described up to 3am  
and linkages with regional service

Rec.

- (1) Meet with family  
Share findings
- (2) Changes to range of practices  
Audit  
revised doc to calculate IV  
24hrs - weighing chd  
Management of fluid balance

INQUEST March 2004

Trust id of issues

- 27/2 - met with Dr Campbell CMO
- WHSSB Briefing
  - H Mills co-ord - lessons learnt
  - J Kelly - GMC

Issues (E Fee):

Clinical level: (not unique to Paed)

Organisational level e.g. review/family etc

- Regional level
- standardisation of t/f of records
  - framework clinical issue review sharing
  - panel of experience for reviews (expertise/access)

Jim Kelly:

Medical Aspects:

Pre CSCG SLT trying to introduce some work

Dr O'Donohoe - contacted Med. Director

|        |   |          |
|--------|---|----------|
| C.E.   | } | informed |
| E. Fee |   |          |

Process of internal review with expert opinion

(NB Now standard practice)

WHSSB alerted - Bill McConnell

case/rev/initial findings/opinion

Re CMO - No mechanism for contacting Dr Campbell

M Reilly: Q - Why choose Dr Quinn - Senior

Specialist

natural area to turn to

M Reilly: Q - In retrospect would you choose again local

M Reilly: M Quinns - opinion discredited (via inquest)

- based on report

JK cannot defend poor practice, recording etc.

JK/EF met with MQ = reviewed again

Q - Does his review look at latest research areas of concern within med circles.

Also asked Royal College of Paeds - Report and background notes (end 2000-Spring 2001)

Their report - number of possibilities  
aspects of fluid balance  
sickness etc

did say: There is a debate about fluid (May 01) said the Royal changed their practice  
not using 18 routinely

FIRST TIME JK heard of this

then met with AHT re

March 01 - Lesson of the week

BMJ and near misses reported

June 01 - JK - letter to all Paed.

M Reilly: Q - Parents - how did child die  
- call for new PM [June 01]

JK then when litigation proceeded

asked Dr Jenkins (Paed) (Antrim) - review March 03

His report outlined issues

incl - Rapid and effective med resp

did their best

agreed not fluids

M Reilly: Q - Listening to parents 12-3am  
concerns raised

M Reilly: Q - Why/what between June 01 – March 03  
re parents - information

- ? inform coroner about suspicion regarding fluid and  
hyponatremia

Hyponatremia

Sodium 137 recording



Hypo resulted = fluids given  
+ rate

127 Drop not a problem normally

BUT IT WAS THE RATE IN 6-8HRS WAS CRITICAL

Lessons:

1. Reporting NI
2. Standardisation ext opinion
3. Worry re willingness of others to give info
4. Q - 2 reviewers rather than 1
5. No NI National Clinical Assessment

Authority

? Dept - adopt UK System

give clear pathway

Q - audit re reporting systems - under reporting etc. reasons

Change of practice

Defined routine of observation for children on admission

1<sup>st</sup> 24hrs

Standardisation

Prescription- varied opinion of what is intended

Need to:

- Protocol for inv parents
- alerting Coroner

H Mills:

- ongoing Lit (is a constraint)
- co-operated with CMO following findings
- GMC - findings sent to them
- Anne O'Brien CSCG Support Team engaged to review case

WHSSC:

- outcome of some of ideas tabled
- more review re complaints
- media
- ---- use of WHSSC

Agreed to meet later to discuss more fully the area of the complaint management  
(2.5 hours taken on the medical/care aspect of the case)

**Meeting ended 6.50pm**